arXiv:1602.00521v2 [math.CO] 29 Feb 2016

The Real-rootedness of Generalized Narayana Polynomials

Herman Z.Q. Chen[1], Arthur L.B. Yang[2], Philip B. Zhang[3]

[1,2]Center for Combinatorics, LPMC
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

[3]College of Mathematical Science
Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, P. R. China

Email: [1]zqchern@163.com, [2]yang@nankai.edu.cn, [3]zhangbiaonk@163.com

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the real-rootedness of two classes of generalized Narayana polynomials: one arising as the h-polynomials of the generalized associahedron associated to the finite Weyl groups, the other arising in the study of the infinite log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials. For the former, Brändén has already proved that these h-polynomials have only real zeros. We establish certain recurrence relations for the two classes of Narayana polynomials, from which we derive the real-rootedness. To prove the real-rootedness, we use a sufficient condition, due to Liu and Wang, to determine whether two polynomials have interlaced zeros. The recurrence relations are verified with the help of the Mathematica package *HolonomicFunctions*.

AMS Classification 2010: 05A15, 26C10

Keywords: Generalized Narayana polynomials, real zeros, interlacing zeros.

1 Introduction

For any nonnegative integers n and m, let

$$N_{A_n}(x)=\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+1}{k}\binom{n+1}{k+1}x^k, \tag{1}$$

$$N_{B_n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{k}x^k, \tag{2}$$

$$N_{D_n}(x)=N_{B_n}(x)-nxN_{A_{n-2}}(x), \quad \text{for } n\geq 2, \tag{3}$$

$$N_{n,m}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\binom{n}{k}\binom{m}{k}-\binom{n}{k+1}\binom{m}{k-1}\right)x^k. \tag{4}$$

The polynomial $N_{A_n}(x)$ is the classical Narayana polynomial. It is well known that both $N_{A_n}(x)$ and $N_{B_n}(x)$ have only real zeros. The real-rootedness of $N_{D_n}(x)$ was proved by Brändén [4]. In this paper, we shall give a new proof of the real-rootedness of $N_{D_n}(x)$. It

is easy to verify that both $N_{n,n}(x)$ and $N_{n+1,n}(x)$ are just the polynomial $N_{A_n}(x)$. While, it seems that the polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ were not well studied for general n and m. In this paper, we shall prove that the polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ have only real zeros for any n and m. Let us first review some backgrounds of the polynomials $N_{D_n}(x)$ and $N_{n,m}(x)$.

The polynomials $N_{D_n}(x)$ arose in the study of the generalized associahedron associated to finite Weyl groups, see Fomin and Zelevinsky [12]. Let W be a finite Weyl group, and denote by $N_W(x)$ the h-polynomial of dual complex of the generalized associahedra associated to W. For the classical Weyl groups of type A_n, B_n and D_n, these polynomials are given by $N_{A_n}(x)$, $N_{B_n}(x)$ and $N_{D_n}(x)$, respectively. For more information, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 10, 11]. The polynomials $N_W(x)$ are called generalized Narayana polynomials. In the original version of [18], Reiner and Welker asked whether $N_{D_n}(x)$ have only real zeros. Later, Brändén [4] gave an affirmative answer to this question. In fact, Brändén [4] obtained a more general result as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([4, Theorem 7.1]). *Given a positive integer $n \geq 2$, let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\alpha \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \geq 0$[1]. Then the polynomial*

$$F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) := \alpha N_{B_n}(x) + \beta n x N_{A_{n-2}}(x), \tag{5}$$

has only real zeros.

Taking $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = -1$ in (5), we get $N_{D_n}(x)$. Besides, one can check that the h-polynomial has only real zeros for each of the exceptional cases. As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, Brändén [4] proved that the h-polynomial $N_W(x)$ has only real zeros for any finite Weyl group W.

The polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ arose in the study of the infinite log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials. The Boros-Moll polynomials was introduced by Boros and Moll [3] in their study of a quartic integral, and they obtained the following expression for the Boros-Moll polynomials:

$$P_n(x) = 2^{-2n} \sum_j 2^j \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} \binom{n+j}{j} (x+1)^j.$$

Recall that a finite nonnegative sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is said to be log-concave if

$$a_k^2 - a_{k+1}a_{k-1} \geq 0, \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

where, for convenience, we set $a_{-1} = 0$ and $a_{n+1} = 0$. We say that it is infinitely log-concave if for any $i \geq 1$ the i-th iterative sequence $\{\mathcal{L}^i(a_k)\}_{k=0}^n$ is nonnegative, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the operator acting on $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^n$ as follows

$$\mathcal{L}(a_k) = a_k^2 - a_{k+1}a_{k-1}, \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

[1] Brändén [4] used the condition $2\alpha + \beta > 0$, while, for $n = 4, \alpha = 1, \beta = -19/10$, it is easy to verify that $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ has a pair of complex zeros.

We say that a polynomial

$$f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_kx^k$$

is infinitely log-concave if its coefficient sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is infinitely log-concave. Boros and Moll proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 ([3]). *The polynomial $P_n(x)$ is infinitely log-concave.*

The log-concavity of $P_n(x)$ was first conjectured by Moll [17], and then was proved by Kauers and Paule [13]. The 2-fold log-concavity of $P_n(x)$ was proved by Chen and Xia [8]. Brändén [5] proposed an innovative approach to the higher-fold log-concavity of $P_n(x)$. He conjectured the real-rootedness of some variations of $P_n(x)$, from which its 3-fold log-concavity can be deduced. Brändén's conjectures were later confirmed by Chen, Dou and Yang [7]. While Conjecture 1.2 is open, Brändén [5] has proved the infinite log-concavity of real-rooted polynomials, which was independently conjectured by Stanley, McNamara and Sagan [16], and Fisk [9].

Theorem 1.3 ([5]). *If*

$$f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_kx^k$$

is a real-rooted polynomial with nonnegative coefficients, then so does the polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}(a_k^2-a_{k-1}a_{k+1})x^k.$$

The well known Newton's inequality states that if a polynomial $f(x)$ has only real zeros, then it must be log-concave. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 implies the infinite log-concavity of the real-rooted polynomials. Motivated by Brändén's theorem, we are led to study the real-rootedness of the following polynomial:

$$Q_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(d_k(n)^2-d_{k-1}(n)d_{k+1}(n))x^k,$$

where

$$d_k(n)=2^{-2n}\sum_{j=k}^{n}2^j\binom{2n-2j}{n-j}\binom{n+j}{j}\binom{j}{k}$$

is the coefficient of x^k in the Boros-Moll polynomial $P_n(x)$. We have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.4. *For any $n\geq 1$, the polynomial $Q_n(x)$ has only real zeros.*

Since the log-concavity of $P_n(x)$ is known, by Theorem 1.3, Conjecture 1.4 would imply Conjecture 1.2. Note that the polynomial $Q_n(x)$ may be rewritten as

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} 2^{i+j} \binom{2n-2i}{n-i} \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} \binom{n+i}{i} \binom{n+j}{j} N_{i,j}(x),$$

where $N_{i,j}(x)$ is the Narayana polynomial defined by (4). The numerical evidence suggests that the polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$ has only real zeros for any n and m.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we shall give an overview of some tools which will be used to prove the real-rootedness of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ and $N_{n,m}(x)$. In Section 3, we shall give a new proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we shall prove that the polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$ is a real-rooted polynomial.

2 Preliminary results

The results contained in this section serve as a reference point used in Sections 3 and 4.

Let us first introduce the definition of interlacing. Given two real-rooted polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ with positive leading coefficients, We say that $g(x)$ interlaces $f(x)$, denoted $g(x) \preceq f(x)$, if

$$\cdots \leq s_2 \leq r_2 \leq s_1 \leq r_1,$$

where $\{r_i\}$ and $\{s_j\}$ are the sets of zeros of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively. We say that $g(x)$ strictly interlaces $f(x)$, denoted $g(x) \prec f(x)$, if, in addition, all the inequalities concerned are strict.

Liu and Wang [15] obtained the following sufficient condition to determine whether two polynomials have interlaced zeros.

Theorem 2.1 ([15, Theorem 2.3]). *Let $F(x), f(x), g_1(x), \ldots, g_k(x)$ be polynomials with real coefficients satisfying the following conditions.*

(a) There exist some polynomials $\phi(x), \varphi_1(x), \ldots, \varphi_k(x)$ with real coefficients such that

$$F(x) = \phi(x)f(x) + \varphi_1(x)g_1(x) + \cdots + \varphi_k(x)g_k(x) \tag{6}$$

and $\deg F(x) = \deg f(x)$ or $\deg F(x) = \deg f(x) + 1$;

(b) The polynomials $f(x), g_1(x), \ldots, g_k(x)$ are real-rooted polynomials, and moreover $g_j(x) \preceq f(x)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$;

(c) The leading coefficients of $F(x)$ and $g_j(x)$ have the same sign for each $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Suppose that $\varphi_j(r) \leq 0$ for each j and each zero r of $f(x)$. Then $F(x)$ has only real zeros and $f(x) \preceq F(x)$.

We shall use the above result to prove the real-rootedness of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ and $N_{n,m}(x)$. The key point is to prove certain recurrence relations related to these polynomials. As will be shown later, the coefficients of these recurrence relations look complicated. Koutschan (private communication) pointed out that these recurrence relations can be easily verified by using the Mathematica package *HolonomicFunctions*, see [14]. To be self-contained, we give an example to illustrate the use of this package. It is well known that the binomial coefficients satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

This can be proved in the following way by using the package:

1. Convert the recurrence $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ to an Ore polynomial in the Ore algebra:

   In[1]:= **rec = ToOrePolynomial[f[n, k] − f[n − 1, k] − f[n − 1, k − 1], f[n, k]]**

   Out[1]= $S_n S_k - S_k - 1$

2. Generate a (Gröebner) basis of the set A of all recurrence/differential relations that the input satisfies using the command Annihilator:

   In[2]:= **ann = Annihilator[Binomial[n, k], S[n], S[k]]**

   Out[2]= $\{(1+k)S_k + (k-n), (1-k+n)S_n + (-1-n)\}$

3. Reduce the Ore polynomial rec modulo A using the command OreReduce. If it returns 0, then rec is an element of the set A and hence the recurrence relation is valid.

   In[3]:= **OreReduce[rec, ann]**

   Out[3]= 0

3 The polynomials $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$

The aim of this section is to give a new proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, we shall show that

$$F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \preceq F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \quad \text{for any } n \geq 2.$$

We first derive recurrence relations for $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. For the convenience, let

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(\alpha,\beta,n) &= (4n-2)\alpha + n\beta,\\
T_2(\alpha,\beta,n) &= (4n-1)\alpha + n\beta,\\
T_3(\alpha,\beta,n) &= 4n\alpha + (n+1)\beta,\\
T_4(\alpha,\beta,n) &= 6n\alpha + (n+1)\beta,\\
T_5(\alpha,\beta,n) &= (4n+1)\alpha + (n+1)\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *For $n \geq 2$, we have the following recurrence relation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = &\left(\frac{2T_2(\alpha,\beta,n)}{T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}x + \frac{2(n-1)T_5(\alpha,\beta,n)}{(n+1)T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}\right) F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\\
&- \frac{(n-2)T_3(\alpha,\beta,n)}{(n+1)T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}(x-1)^2 F_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\\
&- \frac{2T_4(\alpha,\beta,n)}{n(n+1)T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}x(x-1)(F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x))'. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ has an explicit formula as follows:

$$F_n(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\alpha\binom{n}{k}^2 + \beta\frac{n}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k}\right)x^k. \qquad (8)$$

We shall prove the following equivalent form of (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
n(n+1)T_1F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = &\left(2n(n+1)T_2x + 2n(n-1)T_5\right) F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\\
&- n(n-2)T_3(x-1)^2F_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\\
&- 2T_4x(x-1)(F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x))',
\end{aligned}$$

where T_i represents $T_i(\alpha,\beta,n)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 5$. First, we convert this recurrence to an Ore polynomial *rec* and compute a (Gröebner) basis *ann* of the set A of all recurrence/differential relations that $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ satisfies:

```
In[4]:= Clear[f];
In[5]:= rec = ToOrePolynomial[(2*n*(n+1)*T2*x+2*n*(n-1)*T5)*f[n,x]-n*(n-
        2)*T3*(x-1)^2*f[n-1,x]-2*T4*x*(x-1)*D[f[n,x],x]-n*(n+1)*T1*f[n+
        1,x] /. MapThread[Rule,{{T1,T2,T3,T4,T5},{(4*n-2)*α+n*β,(4*n-1)*
        α+n*β,4*n*α+(n+1)*β,6*n*α+(n+1)*β,(4*n+1)*α+(n+1)*β}}],f[n,x]];
In[6]:= ann = Annihilator[Sum[(α*Binomial[n,k]^2+β*n/(n-1)*Binomial[n-1,k-
        1]*Binomial[n-1,k])*x^k,{k,0,n}],S[n],Der[x]];
```

Then reduce the Ore polynomial *rec* modulo A.

In[7]:= **OreReduce[rec, ann]**

Out[7]= 0

The output is 0, as desired. This completes the proof. □

We also need the following lemma to prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha+\beta \geq 0$. Then, for any $n \geq 3$, all the coefficients of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ are nonnegative.*

Proof. Note that, for $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^k]F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) =& \alpha\binom{n}{k}^2 + \beta\frac{n}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k} \\
=& \alpha\left(\binom{n}{k}^2 - \frac{n}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k}\right) \\
&+ (\alpha+\beta)\frac{n}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k} \\
\geq& \alpha\left(\binom{n}{k}^2 - \frac{n}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k}\right) \\
[5pt] =& \alpha\binom{n}{k}^2\left(1 - \frac{k(n-k)}{n(n-1)}\right) \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We proceed to prove the real-rootedness of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We use induction on n. We may assume that $\alpha > 0$. The hypothesis that $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ implies that both $F_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ and $F_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ are real-rooted. Moreover, we have

$$F_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \alpha\left(x + \frac{2\alpha+\beta-\sqrt{(\alpha+\beta)(3\alpha+\beta)}}{\alpha}\right)\left(x + \frac{2\alpha+\beta+\sqrt{(\alpha+\beta)(3\alpha+\beta)}}{\alpha}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \alpha(x+1)&\left(x + \frac{8\alpha+3\beta-\sqrt{3(2\alpha+\beta)(10\alpha+3\beta)}}{2\alpha}\right) \\
&\times\left(x + \frac{8\alpha+3\beta-\sqrt{3(2\alpha+\beta)(10\alpha+3\beta)}}{2\alpha}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is routine to verify that $F_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \preceq F_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. The details are tedious and will not be given here.

Assume that $F_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ and $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ have only real zeros, and $F_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \preceq F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. We proceed to verify that $F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ has only real zeros and $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \preceq F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. We see that the recurrence relation (7) is of the form (6) in Theorem 2.1 with $k = 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),\\
f(x) &= F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),\\
g_1(x) &= F_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),\\
g_2(x) &= (F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x))',\\
\phi(x) &= \left(\frac{2T_2(\alpha,\beta,n)}{T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}x + \frac{2(n-1)T_5(\alpha,\beta,n)}{(n+1)T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}\right),\\
\varphi_1(x) &= -\frac{(n-2)T_3(\alpha,\beta,n)}{(n+1)T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}(x-1)^2,\\
\varphi_2(x) &= -\frac{2T_4(\alpha,\beta,n)}{n(n+1)T_1(\alpha,\beta,n)}x(x-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that we are always assuming that $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$. With this assumption, all of $T_1(\alpha,\beta,n), T_3(\alpha,\beta,n), T_4(\alpha,\beta,n)$ are positive for $n \geq 3$. By Lemma 3.2, all the real zeros of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ are non-positive. Therefore, for any zero r of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$, we have

$$\varphi_1(r) \leq 0, \qquad \varphi_2(r) \leq 0.$$

By Theorem 2.1, the polynomial $F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ has only real zeros, and moreover $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \preceq F_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. This completes the proof. □

4 The polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$

In this section, we shall prove the real-rootedness of the polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$. Similar to the proof of the real-rootedness of $F_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$, we first derive certain recurrence relations for these polynomials. For nonnegative integers t and n, let

$$\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x) = N_{n+t,n}(x), \quad \underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x) = N_{n,n+t}(x). \tag{9}$$

We have the following recurrence relation for $\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$.

Theorem 4.1. *For nonnegative integers t and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x) = \frac{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2}{(n+t+1)(n+3)(c_0+c_1x)}\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x) - \frac{n(n+t)(x-1)^2(b_0+b_1x)}{(n+t+1)(n+3)(c_0+c_1x)}\overline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x), \tag{10}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_0 &= -(2n+3)(n+t)(n+t+1),\\
a_1 &= 3t(t-2)(t+1)^2/2 + t(t-2)(t^2+7t+5)n\\
&\quad + 3t(t-2)(t+2)n^2 + 2t(t-2)n^3\\
a_2 &= t^2(t-1)(t+1)^2/2 + (t-1)(2t^3+3t^2+t-3)n\\
&\quad + (t-1)(3t^2+3t-5)n^2 + 2(t-1)^2n^3,\\
b_0 &= -n-1-t,\\
b_1 &= (t-1)^2n + (t-1)t^2/2 + (t-1)^2,\\
c_0 &= -n-t,\\
c_1 &= (t-1)^2n + (t-1)t^2/2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We shall prove an equivalent form of this recurrence relation, which is obtained by multiplying $(n+t+1)(n+3)(c_0+c_1x)$ on both sides of (10). This could be converted into an Ore polynomial as follows:

```
In[8]:= rec = ToOrePolynomial[(a0 + a1 * x + a2 * x^2) * f[n] - (n * (n + t) * (x -
          1)^2 * (b0 + b1 * x)) * f[n - 1] - (n + 3) * (n + t + 1) * (c0 + c1 * x) * f[n +
          1] /. MapThread[Rule, {{a0, a1, a2, b0, b1, c0, c1}, {-(2 * n + 3) * (n + t) * (n +
          t + 1), 3 * t * (t - 2) * (t + 1)^2/2 + t * (t - 2) * (t^2 + 7t + 5) * n + 3 * t * (t - 2) *
          (t + 2) * n^2 + 2 * t * (t - 2) * n^3, t^2 * (t - 1) * (t + 1)^2/2 + (t - 1) * (2 * t^3 + 3 * t^2 +
          t - 3) * n + (t - 1) * (3 * t^2 + 3 * t - 5) * n^2 + 2 * (t - 1)^2 * n^3, -n - 1 - t, (t -
          1)^2 * n + (t - 1) * t^2/2 + (t - 1)^2, -n - t, (t - 1)^2 * n + (t - 1) * t^2/2}}], f[n]];
```

Then compute a (Gröebner) basis *ann* of the set of all recurrence/differential relations that $\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$ satisfies, and reduce the Ore polynomial *rec* modulo *ann*:

```
In[9]:= ann = Annihilator[Sum[(Binomial[n+t, k]*Binomial[n, k]-Binomial[n+t, k+
          1] * Binomial[n, k - 1]) * x^k, {k, 0, n + t}], S[n]];
In[10]:= OreReduce[rec, ann]

Out[10]= 0
```

The output is 0, as desired. This completes the proof. □

We now prove the real-rootedness of $\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$.

Theorem 4.2. *For any $n, t \geq 0$, the polynomial $\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$ has only real zeros. If $t \geq 2$, then $\overline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$ has one and only one positive zero.*

Proof. Note that both the polynomials $\overline{N}_n^{(0)}(x)$ and $\overline{N}_n^{(1)}(x)$ are the classical Narayana polynomial, which is known to be real-rooted.

We proceed to consider the case of $t \geq 2$. Assume that $\overline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x) \preceq \overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$. We see that the recurrence relation (10) is of the form (6) in Theorem 2.1 with $k = 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x), \\ f(x) &= \overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x), \\ g_1(x) &= \overline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x), \\ \phi(x) &= \frac{a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2}{(n+t+1)(n+3)(c_0 + c_1 x)}, \\ \varphi_1(x) &= -\frac{n(n+t)(x-1)^2(b_0 + b_1 x)}{(n+t+1)(n+3)(c_0 + c_1 x)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \geq 0$ and $t \geq 2$, $\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$ is polynomial in x of degree $n+1$, and for any $0 \leq k \leq n+1$, the coefficient of x^k in $\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$ is

$$\binom{n+t}{k}\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n+t}{k+1}\binom{n}{k-1} = \frac{n+1-kt}{(n+1)(k+1)}\binom{n+t}{k}\binom{n+1}{k}.$$

Therefore, the number of changes in sign of the coefficients is 1. By Descartes' rule, the polynomial $\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$ has at most one positive zeros. Moreover, we see that

$$[x^0]\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x) = 1 > 0, \quad [x^{n+1}]\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x) = -\binom{n+t}{n+2} < 0.$$

Thus, the polynomial $\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$ has one and only one positive zero.

We claim that $\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$ has n negative zeros, and moreover, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$r_{n+1}^{(n+1)} < r_n^{(n)} < r_n^{(n+1)} < r_{n-1}^{(n)} < \cdots < r_2^{(n)} < r_2^{(n+1)} < r_1^{(n)} < r_1^{(n+1)} < 0,$$

where $\{r_k^{(n)}\}_{k=0}^{n}$ and $\{r_k^{(n+1)}\}_{k=0}^{n+1}$ are the negative zeros of $\overline{N}_{n}^{(t)}(x)$ and $\overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$ respectively.

The proof of the claim is by induction on n. To this end, we need to determine the sign of the coefficient of $\overline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x)$ in the recurrence (10). For any $x < 0, n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 2$, it is easy to show that

$$-\frac{n(n+t)(x-1)^2(b_0 + b_1 x)}{(n+t+1)(n+3)(c_0 + c_1 x)} < 0.$$

By (10), we see that $\overline{N}_{2}^{(t)}(r_1^{(1)}) < 0$. Moreover, we have $\overline{N}_{2}^{(t)}(0) = 1 > 0$ and $\overline{N}_{2}^{(t)}(-\infty) > 0$. Thus, $r_2^{(2)} < r_1^{(1)} < r_1^{(2)} < 0$, as claimed. Assume the claim is true for n. From (10) we deduce that

$$(-1)^k \overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(r_k^{(n)}) > 0, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Moreover, we have $\overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(0) = 1 > 0$ and $(-1)^{n+1}\overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(-\infty) > 0$. Thus, the polynomials $\overline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$ has $n+1$ negative zeros $\{r_k^{(n+1)}\}_{k=0}^{n+1}$, and moreover, for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have $r_{k+1}^{(n+1)} < r_k^{(n)} < r_k^{(n+1)}$, as claimed. This completes the proof. □

The polynomials $\underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$ satisfy the following recurrence relation.

Theorem 4.3. *For nonnegative integers t and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2}{(n+t+3)(n+1)(c_0+c_1 x)} \underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x) - \frac{n(n+t)(x-1)^2(b_0+b_1 x)}{(n+t+3)(n+1)(c_0+c_1 x)} \underline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0 &= -(2n^3 + (2t+5)n^2 + (2t+3)n), \\
a_1 &= (2t(t+2)n^3 + 3t(t+2)^2 n^2 + (t(t+2)(t^2+5t+5))n \\
&\quad + (t(t+1)(t+2)(t+3)/2)), \\
a_2 &= (t+1)((2t+2)n^3 + (3t^2+9t+5)n^2 + (2t+3)(t^2+3t+1)n \\
&\quad + t(t+1)(t+2)(t+3)/2), \\
b_0 &= -(n+1), \\
b_1 &= (t+1)^2 n + (t+1)(t^2+4t+2)/2, \\
c_0 &= -n, \\
c_1 &= (t+1)^2 n + t(t+1)(t+2)/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1. First, we convert (11) to an Ore polynomial:

```
In[11]:= rec = ToOrePolynomial[(a0 + a1 * x + a2 * x^2) * f[n] - (n * (n + t) * (x -
          1)^2 * (b0 + b1 * x)) * f[n - 1] - (n + t + 3) * (n + 1) * (c0 + c1 * x) * f[n +
          1] /. MapThread[Rule, {{a0, a1, a2, b0, b1, c0, c1}, {-(2 * n^3 + (2 * t + 5) * n^2 +
          (2 * t + 3) * n), 2 * t * (t + 2) * n^3 + 3 * t * (t + 2)^2 * n^2 + (t * (t + 2) * (t^2 + 5 * t + 5)) *
          n + t * (t + 1) * (t + 2) * ((t + 3)/2), (t + 1) * ((2 * t + 2) * n^3 + (3 * t^2 + 9 * t + 5) * n^2 +
          (2 * t + 3) * (t^2 + 3 * t + 1) * n + t * (t + 1) * (t + 2) * ((t + 3)/2)), -(n + 1), (t + 1)^2 *
          n + (t + 1) * ((t^2 + 4 * t + 2)/2), -n, (t + 1)^2 * n + t * (t + 1) * ((t + 2)/2)}}], f[n]];
```

Then compute a (Gröebner) basis *ann* of the set of all recurrence/differential relations that $\underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$ satisfies, and reduce the Ore polynomial *rec* modulo *ann*:

```
In[12]:= ann = Annihilator[Sum[(Binomial[n, k] * Binomial[n + t, k] - Binomial[n, k +
          1] * Binomial[n + t, k - 1]) * x^k, {k, 0, n}], S[n]];
In[13]:= OreReduce[rec, ann]

Out[13]= 0
```

We have the desired output. This completes the proof. □

Next we come to proving the real-rootedness of $\underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$.

Theorem 4.4. *For any $t \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, the polynomial $\underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$ has only real zeros, and moreover, we have $\underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x) \preceq \underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$.*

Proof. We use induction on n. It is straightforward to verify that

$$\underline{N}_0^{(1)}(x) = 1, \quad \underline{N}_1^{(1)}(x) = 1 + (t+1)x, \quad \underline{N}_0^{(1)}(x) \preceq \underline{N}_1^{(1)}(x).$$

Assume that $\underline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x) \preceq \underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x)$. We see that the recurrence relation (11) is also of the form (6) in Theorem 2.1 with $k = 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= \underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x), \\
f(x) &= \underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x), \\
g_1(x) &= \underline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x), \\
\phi(x) &= \frac{a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2}{(n+t+3)(n+1)(c_0 + c_1 x)}, \\
\varphi_1(x) &= -\frac{n(n+t)(x-1)^2(b_0 + b_1 x)}{(n+t+3)(n+1)(c_0 + c_1 x)} \underline{N}_{n-1}^{(t)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, c_0, c_1$ are given by (11). Note that for any $n, t \geq 0$ the coefficients of $\underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$ are nonnegative, since, for any $0 \leq k \leq n$, the coefficient of x^k in $\underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^k]\underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x) &= \binom{n}{k}\binom{n+t}{k} - \binom{n}{k+1}\binom{n+t}{k-1} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{k}{k+1} \cdot \frac{n-k}{n-k+t+1}\right)\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+t}{k} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that for any $x < 0$, we have $\varphi_1(x) \leq 0$. By Theorem 2.1, the polynomial $\underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$ is real-rooted, and moreover $\underline{N}_n^{(t)}(x) \preceq \underline{N}_{n+1}^{(t)}(x)$. □

Combining Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *For any $m, n \geq 0$, the polynomial $N_{m,n}(x)$ has only real zeros.*

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education and the National Science Foundation of China. We would like to thank Dr. Christoph Koutschan for helpful suggestions on the use of the Mathematica package *HolonomicFunctions*.

References

[1] D. Armstrong, Generalized noncrossing partitions and combinatorics of Coxeter groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **202** (2009), no. 949, x+159 pp.

[2] C. A. Athanasiadis, On a refinement of the generalized Catalan numbers for Weyl groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 1, 179–196.

[3] G. Boros and V. Moll, *Irresistible integrals*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[4] P. Brändén, On linear transformations preserving the Pólya frequency property, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 8, 3697–3716.

[5] P. Brändén, Iterated sequences and the geometry of zeros, J. Reine Angew. Math. **658** (2011), 115–131.

[6] F. Brenti, Unimodal, log-concave and Pólya frequency sequences in combinatorics, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1989), no. 413, viii+106 pp.

[7] W. Y. C. Chen, D. Q. J. Dou and A. L. B. Yang, Brändén's conjectures on the Boros-Moll polynomials, Int. Math. Res. Not. **20** (2013), 4819–4828.

[8] W. Y. C. Chen and E. X. W. Xia, 2-log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **56** (2013), no. 3, 701–722.

[9] S. Fisk, Questions about determinants and polynomials, arXiv:0808.1850.

[10] S. Fomin and N. Reading, Root systems and generalized associahedra, in *Geometric combinatorics*, 63–131, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[11] S. Fomin and N. Reading, Generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics, Int. Math. Res. Not. **44** (2005), 2709–2757.

[12] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Y-systems and generalized associahedra, Ann. Math. (2) **158** (2003), no. 3, 977–1018.

[13] M. Kauers and P. Paule, A computer proof of Moll's log-concavity conjecture, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 12, 3847–3856.

[14] C. Koutschan, *Advanced Applications of the Holonomic Systems Approach*, PhD thesis, RISC, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria, 2009.

[15] L. L. Liu and Y. Wang, A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros, Adv. Appl. Math. **38** (2007), no. 4, 542–560.

[16] P. R. W. McNamara and B. E. Sagan, Infinite log-concavity: developments and conjectures, Adv. Appl. Math. **44** (2010), no. 1, 1–15.

[17] V. H. Moll, The evaluation of integrals: a personal story, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (2002), no. 3, 311–317.

[18] V. Reiner and V. Welker, On the Charney-Davis and Neggers-Stanley conjectures, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **109** (2005), no. 2, 247–280.

[19] R. A. Sulanke, Three recurrences for parallelogram polyominoes, J. Differ. Equations Appl. **5** (1999), no. 2, 155–176.